

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Tradomark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILI	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/731,170	12/06/2000		Shoichiro Usui	USUI-12N	9121
1218	7590	11/19/2003		EXAMINER	
CASELLA			LUGO, CARLOS		
274 MADISON AVENUE NEW YORK, NY 10016				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	- <b>,</b> - · · · · · · ·			3677	

DATE MAILED: 11/19/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· <b>'</b>			
	Application No.	pplicant(s)	
	09/731,170	usui, sh <del>oic</del>	HIRO A
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Carlos Lugo	3677	
The MAILING DATE of this commun Period for Reply	nication appears on the cove	er sheet with the correspondence	e address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (7  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum s  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, how munication. 30) days, a reply within the statutory m tatutory period will apply and will expire y will, by statute, cause the application	wever, may a reply be timely filed ninimum of thirty (30) days will be considered e SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133	this communication.
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>08 September 2003</u> .		
2a) This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This action is non-fin	al.	
3) Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the pract	i for allowance except for foice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> ,	ormal matters, prosecution as to 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	the merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 5-14 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 5-14 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restri	are withdrawn from conside		
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 December</u> Applicant may not request that any objected that any objected that any objected the priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	er 2000 is/are: a) ☐ accept ection to the drawing(s) be hel g the correction is required if t	d in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(and the drawing(s) is objected to. See 3	a). 37 CFR 1.121(d).
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority  2. Certified copies of the priority  3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation  * See the attached detailed Office action  since a specific reference was included and a claim of the foreign lated the company of the company of the foreign lated the company of the company of the foreign lated the company of the company of the company of the company of the certification of the foreign lated the company of the certification of	documents have been red documents have been red of the priority documents h onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17. on for a list of the certified of for domestic priority under ed in the first sentence of the nguage provisional application	ceived. ceived in Application No nave been received in this Nation 2(a)). copies not received. 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provision e specification or in an Application has been received. 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 si	ional Stage ional application) ition Data Sheet. ince a specific
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review ( 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) F	PTO-948) 5)	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Papel Notice of Informal Patent Application Other:	

Art Unit: 3677

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This Office Action is in response to applicant's appeal brief filed on September 8,
 2003.

## **Drawings**

The examiner approved the proposed drawing correction filed on April 14, 2002.
 Therefore, new corrected drawings are required in this application.

Applicant is advised to employ the services of a competent patent draftsperson outside the Office, as the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office no longer prepares new drawings. The corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The requirement for corrected drawings will not be held in abeyance.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 5,7-9 and 11-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Pat No 5,058,935 to Eidsmore in view of US Pat No 4,469,356 to Duret et al (Duret).

Regarding claims 5 and 11, Eidsmore discloses a high-pressure pipe assembly comprising a metal pipe (12) having opposed first and second ends.

Art Unit: 3677

A connecting head (22), adjacent the first end, having a seat surface (26) flared outwardly from the first end and a cylindrical surface (Figure 2, where 22 is pointing) extending from the seat surface away from the first end. The cylindrical surface has a selected outside diameter.

A cylindrical body (20) extends from the connecting head toward the second end. The body has an outside diameter less than the selected diameter of the cylindrical surface. A centrally passage (24) extends through the metal pipe from the first to the second end. The passage defines an annular groove spaced from the first end.

The assembly further includes a unitary sleeve washer (70) that has opposing first and second ends. A portion of the sleeve extends from the first towards the second end. The sleeve engages and surrounds at least a portion of the cylindrical surface of the connecting head (Figure 2).

However, Eidsmore fails to disclose that the passage defines an annular groove spaced from the first end.

Duret teaches that is known in the art to have a passage defining an annular groove spaced from a first end of a pipe (Figures 3 and 6). The passage has a first cylindrical portion between the annular groove and the first end and a second cylindrical portion between the annular groove and the second end. The first and second cylindrical portions defines an inside diameter less than of the annular groove.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have an annular groove, as taught by Duret, into a

Art Unit: 3677

connection as described by Eidsmore, in order to obtain a light and inexpensive connector.

As to claims 7 and 8, Eidsmore discloses that the seat surface can comprises an spherically generated surface (40 at Figure 5) or a planar end face (26 at Figure 2) at the first end and a flared surface extending outwardly from the planar end face.

As to claim 9, Eidsmore discloses that the connecting head includes a radially aligned annular surface extending between the connecting head and the cylindrical body (at 22 in Figure 2).

As to claims 12-14, Eidsmore illustrates that the outside diameter of the cylindrical surface is between 10-45% larger than the outside diameter of the cylindrical body.

5. Claims 6 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Pat No 5,058,935 to Eidsmore in view of US Pat No 4,469,356 to Duret et al (Duret) and further in view of US Pat No 4,029,345 to Romanelli.

Regarding claims 6 and 10, Eidsmore, as modified by Duret, fails to disclose that the seat surface comprises a conical generated surface and that the connecting head includes a conical generated surface extending between the connecting head and the cylindrical body.

Romanelli teaches that is known in the art to have a seat surface comprising a conical generated surface and that the connecting head includes a conical generated surface.

Art Unit: 3677

A change in the shape of a prior art device is a design consideration within the level of skill of one skilled in the art. <u>In re Dailey</u>, 357 F.2d 669, 149 USPQ 47 (CCPA 1966).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to change the shape of the seat surface and the conical head, as taught by Romanelli, into the device as described by Eidsmore, as modified by Duret, because is only a change in the shape will not affect the interaction of the connection.

## Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments, see Appeal Brief, filed on September 8, 2003, with respect to the rejection(s)of claim(s) 5-14 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Eidsmore, as modified by Duret and in view of Eidsmore, as modified by Duret and Romanelli (See rejection above)

### Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carlos Lugo whose telephone number is 703-305-9747. The examiner can normally be reached on 9-6pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Judy Swann can be reached on 703-306-4115. The fax phone number

Art Unit: 3677

Page 6

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-306-5771.

Carlos Lugo Examiner Art Unit 3677

November 12, 2003.

J. J. SWANN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600